

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
v.)	2:24cr488-MHT
)	(WO)
NICHOLAS RYAN JACKSON)	

ORDER

This cause is before the court on the motion to continue trial filed by defendant Nicholas Ryan Jackson. For the reasons set forth below, the court finds that jury trial now set for September 8, 2025, should be continued pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h).

While the granting of a continuance is left to the sound discretion of the trial judge, see *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986), the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or

from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

§ 3161(c)(1). The Act excludes from the 70-day period any period of delay resulting from any continuance based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial,"

§ 3161(h)(7)(A). In granting a continuance under subsection (h)(7)(A), the court may consider, among other factors, whether the failure to grant the continuance "would be likely to ... result in a miscarriage of justice," § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), or "would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence," § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

The court concludes that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the interest of the

public and Jackson in a speedy trial. Defense counsel was appointed on July 22, 2025, and needs time to review the discovery documents to prepare adequately for trial. The court previously continued this case until September 8, 2025; however, defense counsel has informed the court that he has another trial beginning that date and in October. Accordingly, the court concludes a continuance is warranted to allow a reasonable time for defense counsel to prepare for trial and advocate effectively on behalf of his client. Also, the government does not oppose a continuance.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

(1) Defendant Nicholas Ryan Jackson's unopposed motion to continue trial (Doc. 35) is granted.

(2) The jury selection and trial for defendant Oliver, now set for September 8, 2025, are continued to November 17, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 2FMJ of

the Frank M. Johnson Jr. United States Courthouse
Complex, One Church Street, Montgomery, Alabama.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall reset
pretrial deadlines as necessary.

DONE, this the 4th day of August, 2025.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE